



EUROPEAN UNION AGENCY FOR ASYLUM (EUAA)

Call for proposals

**Research and analysis of jurisprudence on international protection
and registration in the EUAA Case Law Database (Asylum case law
collection)**

(EUBA-EUAA-2026-ASYLUMCASELAW)

**Version 1.0
25 June 2026**

HISTORY OF CHANGES			
Version	Publication Date	Change	Page
1.0	25 Jun 2026	▪ Initial version.	
		▪	
		▪	

CALL FOR PROPOSALS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

0.	Introduction	5
1.	Background	6
2.	Objectives — Activities — Expected impact	7
	Objectives	7
	Activities that can be funded (scope)	8
	Expected impact (results/outcomes)	9
	Additional considerations applicable to this call	9
3.	Available budget	9
4.	Timetable and deadlines	10
5.	Admissibility and documents	10
6.	Eligibility	11
	Eligible participants (eligible countries)	11
	Consortium composition	13
	Eligible activities	13
	Geographic location (target countries)	14
	Duration	14
	Project budget	14
	Ethics and values	14
	Security	14
7.	Financial and operational capacity and exclusion	14
	Financial capacity	14
	Operational capacity	15
	Exclusion	16
8.	Evaluation and award procedure	17
9.	Award criteria	18
10.	Legal and financial set-up of the Grant Agreements	19
	Starting date and project duration	19
	Milestones and Deliverables	19
	Form of grant, funding rate and maximum grant amount	22
	Budget categories and cost eligibility rules	22
	Reporting and payment arrangements	23
	Prefinancing guarantees	24
	Certificates	24
	Liability regime for recoveries	24

Provisions concerning the project implementation	25
Other specificities	25
Non-compliance and breach of contract	25
11. How to submit an application	25
12. Help	27
13. Important.....	28

0. Introduction

This is a call for proposals for **action grants** in the field of asylum and international protection managed by the European Union Agency for Asylum ('EUAA', 'Agency').

The regulatory framework is set out in:

- Regulation 2024/2509 ([EU Financial Regulation](#))¹
- the basic act ([EUAA Regulation](#))².

The call is launched in accordance with the [2026 Work Programme](#)³.

We invite you to read the **call documentation** carefully, and in particular this Call document, the [Lump Sum Grant Agreement](#), the [EU Funding & Tenders Portal Online Manual](#) and the [EU Grants AGA — Annotated Grant Agreement](#).

These documents provide clarifications and answers to questions you may have when preparing your application:

- the [Call document](#) outlines the following:
 - background, objectives, scope, activities that can be funded and the expected results (sections 1 and 2)
 - timetable and available budget (sections 3 and 4)
 - admissibility and eligibility conditions (including mandatory documents; sections 5 and 6)
 - criteria for financial and operational capacity and exclusion (section 7)
 - evaluation and award procedure (section 8)
 - award criteria (section 9)
 - legal and financial set-up of the Grant Agreements (section 10)
 - how to submit an application (section 11)
- the [Online Manual](#) outlines the following:
 - procedures to register and submit proposals online via the EU Funding & Tenders Portal ('Portal')

¹ Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 September 2024 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union (recast) ('EU Financial Regulation') (OJ L, 2024/2509, 26.9.2024).

² Regulation (EU) 2021/2023 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 December 2021 on the European Union Agency for Asylum and repealing Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 (OJ L 468).

³ European Union Agency for Asylum. (2025). Single programming document: Multi-annual programming N+1 – N+3 (year-year): Work programme year: [Revision 1]. Publications Office of the European Union. ISBN [978-92-9410-284-3].

- recommendations for the preparation of the application
- the AGA — Annotated Grant Agreement contains:
 - detailed annotations on all the provisions, set out in the Grant Agreement that you will have to sign in order to obtain the grant (*including cost eligibility, payment schedule, accessory obligations, etc*).



Please note that after the submission of your application (through the EU Funding & Tenders Portal) the evaluation, grant agreement preparation and project implementation will be managed by the EUAA outside the standard Commission IT tools.



To shorten time-to-signature, we plan to sign both grant agreements, amendments and financial statements (and other documents that need to be signed) electronically using qualified electronic signature (QES) within the meaning of Articles 3(12) and 25(2) of Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 (eIDAS Regulation). QES is recognised to be legally equivalent to a handwritten signature in all EU Member States. To do this, your legal representative(s) must have valid qualified digital certificates for QES. For useful information and practical instructions on using QES, please refer to this [video](#) and [documentation](#).

1. Background

Under its reinforced mandate⁴, the EUAA has strengthened its capacity to support Member States in implementing the Common European Asylum System (CEAS) through grants.

To fulfil its mandate under Article 2(1)(a) and (b) of the EUAA Regulation, the Agency is committed to facilitating, coordinating, and strengthening practical cooperation and information exchange among Member States regarding their asylum and reception systems.

Central to this effort is the collection and analysis of qualitative information on asylum and the implementation of the Common European Asylum System (CEAS).

EUAA Case Law Database

The EUAA Case Law Database is the Agency's public resource that presents national and European case law related to asylum and reception systems in EU+ countries (i.e. EU Member States, Iceland, Norway and Switzerland). It offers a comprehensive collection of relevant judgments and decisions, and includes summaries of each case in English, aiding legal professionals, researchers, and policymakers to easily access comparative jurisprudence and understand how asylum law is interpreted across the countries.

The judgments and decisions included in the EUAA Case Law Database were pronounced by national courts in EU+ countries, the Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU), the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) and UN bodies.

⁴ See Article 56 (2) of the EUAA Regulation [2021/2303](#).

These judgments and decisions shed light on how EU+ countries implement the CEAS and the most recent instruments of the Pact on Migration and Asylum (Pact). The jurisprudence also sheds light on which national laws, policies and practices are in line with or in violation of CEAS. Since courts determine the final outcomes of international protection applications, shape national developments through judicial review, and ultimately decide whether specific legislation, policies, and practices align with the CEAS and the Pact, it is crucial for the EUAA to maintain the most accurate repository of jurisprudence related to international protection.

By strengthening the EUAA Case Law Database, the Agency will support a harmonised implementation of the Pact and improve its ability to fulfil its broader mandate, particularly in areas that depend on timely and accurate information, including operational activities, monitoring, and training. This comprehensive approach will ensure that the EUAA remains at the forefront of supporting Member States in implementing a consistent and effective asylum system across the EU. As court decisions play a significant role in shaping national asylum legislation, policies and practices, monitoring recent developments in asylum-related jurisprudence and ensuring that they are reflected in the Agency's repository of asylum case law is crucial for Member States to keep their legislation and policies in line with EU asylum law, while also raising awareness among asylum stakeholders and the general public about the critical importance of this jurisprudence in the implementation of CEAS and the Pact. Ensuring a more precise corpus of asylum case law will also support key EUAA outputs, such as the Asylum Report and publicly available platforms on asylum and reception systems in EU+ countries.

2. Objectives —Activities— Expected impact

Objectives

The objective of this call for proposals is to **enhance the accessibility to and collection of jurisprudence related to asylum** from national, European and UN appeals bodies, both judicial and non-judicial, within the context of the CEAS, the Pact and UN instruments.

This call aims to expand the repository of relevant case law related to the implementation of CEAS and the Pact, and consequently, facilitate stakeholder access to critical jurisprudence and emerging issues and ensure that analyses of key topics are publicly available. The call seeks to support efforts that contribute to a more comprehensive and accessible EUAA Case Law Database, ultimately strengthening the effective implementation of CEAS and the Pact.

Furthermore, the call aims to foster awareness, understanding and academic interest among relevant stakeholders, including students and educational and academic establishments regarding asylum-related jurisprudence, emerging jurisprudential trends and the functioning of asylum appeal systems across EU+ countries.

The funded project will complement the work of the EUAA in the framework of the EUAA Case Law Database. In addition, it will contribute to situational awareness products, EUAA databases and both operational and strategic outputs of the EUAA, which analyse the latest court decisions affecting national policies and practices related to international protection.

Activities that can be funded (scope)

With this call, the EUAA will fund research and analysis of relevant jurisprudence on international protection, registration of these cases in the EUAA Case Law Database and drafting analytical reports on jurisprudence on relevant asylum thematic areas. This includes the following:

- Research across public databases, websites and other publicly accessible sources to gather relevant judgments, decisions, and interim measures on international protection (including jurisprudence interpreting the provisions of the Pact y) as pronounced by appeal bodies, including the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), national courts, tribunals, non-judicial or quasi-judicial bodies of EU+ countries (consisting of EU Member States, Iceland, Norway and Switzerland) and, where relevant, UN bodies.
- Analyse the collected jurisprudence to identify the most relevant judgments/decisions for the implementation of the CEAS, the Pact and for the fulfilment of international obligations of EU+ countries in matters of asylum and reception conditions. To determine the most relevant judgments/decisions on asylum, refer to the methodology available in the EUAA Case Law Database, in the 'About' page.
- Draft comprehensive summaries in English based on the original judgment/decision and register the respective cases in the EUAA Case Law Database, after review by the EUAA, adhering to the established EUAA methodology available in the ['About'](#) page of the EUAA Case Law Database, and to the templates that will be provided upon signature of the Grant Agreement.
- Produce comparative analysis of the jurisprudence gathered, focusing on identifying landmark cases, patterns and trends in interpretations, as well as novel interpretations and clarifications of concepts and procedures. Develop thematic products based on jurisprudence related to international protection and other relevant analytical case law products that contribute to CEAS implementation, including the Pact.

Outputs:

- Enriched collection of judgments, decisions and interim measures from appeal bodies in international protection cases, including the preparation of summaries in English (subject to quality review by the EUAA), reflecting the latest trends and developments in asylum jurisprudence.
- Enhanced standardisation for research through registration of relevant judgments/decisions on asylum in EU+ countries, in the EUAA Case Law Database, after quality review by the EUAA of the selected case law.
- Analytical products on specific themes in asylum case law - thematic analyses and other relevant outputs on international protection jurisprudence made publicly available to stakeholders via the EUAA Case Law Database and the EUAA website. Subject to availability of relevant case law, thematic analytical outputs of jurisprudence interpreting the provisions of the Pact on Migration and Asylum.
- Input of asylum case law to situational awareness products, platforms and both operational and strategic analysis of the EUAA, considering relevant developments in asylum.

Please see related obligatory deliverables in Section 10 for more information on the type of research and analytical output to be delivered, the topics to be covered and other conditions.

Expected impact (results/outcomes)

The funded project is expected to contribute to the call's objectives, in particular:

- Enriched collection stored in the EUAA Case Law Database to enhance access to asylum jurisprudence, including to new case law on international protection interpreting the provisions of the Pact on Migration and Asylum, subject to availability of such case law.
- Raised awareness among stakeholders, especially students and educational and academic establishments, on the relevance of jurisprudence in the implementation of the CEAS and the Pact by appeal bodies, on jurisprudential developments (latest and upcoming trends), and the organisation and functioning of asylum appeals systems in EU+ countries.
- Increased expertise on gathering and analysing relevant jurisprudence on international protection and improve legal research skills on asylum and reception.
- Increased information exchange on the organisation and functioning of appeal procedures in EU+ countries.

Additional considerations applicable to this call

-  For more information about the EUAA's collection and analysis of jurisprudence on international protection, see the [EUAA Case Law Database](#), specifically the [About](#) page (which includes methodology, rules for registration of cases and a template for summaries), the [Digest by Jurisdiction](#) page (which provides an overview of how many cases are registered for each jurisdiction in the database), the [Relevant sources](#) page (which displays the sources used to gather information on jurisprudence related to asylum, for example court websites, specialised databases, civil society websites), the [Publications](#) page (which provides an overview of EUAA reports analysing case law on specific topics).
-  For more information about the EUAA's work on information collection and analysis of developments in international protection in EU+ countries, see the EUAA [Asylum Report](#), the [Database on International Protection in Europe](#), the EUAA website and [publications](#) analysing developments in asylum.
-  For more information about the EUAA's work to support courts and tribunals of EU+ countries in the field of international protection, see the [Courts and Tribunals](#) page in the EUAA website and the [list](#) of relevant publications.
-  The use of Artificial Intelligence must be in line with [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#) laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) and will be subject to human quality review.

3. Available budget

The estimated available call budget is **EUR 104 500**.

We expect to fund 1 project.

We reserve the right not to award all available funds or to redistribute them between the call priorities, depending on the proposals received and the results of the evaluation.

4. Timetable and deadlines

Timetable and deadlines (indicative)	
Call opening ⁵ :	25 June 2026
<u>Deadline for submission:</u>	<u>30 September 2026 – 17:00:00 CET (Brussels)</u>
Evaluation:	October-November 2026
Information on evaluation results:	December 2026
GA signature:	January 2027

5. Admissibility and documents

Proposals must be submitted before the **call deadline** (*see timetable section 4*).

Proposals must be submitted **electronically** via the EU Funding & Tenders Portal Electronic Submission System (accessible via the Topic page in the [Calls for proposals](#) section ⁶. Paper submissions are NOT possible.

Proposals (including annexes and supporting documents) must be submitted using the forms provided *inside* the Submission System (⚠ NOT the documents available on the Topic page — they are only for information).

Proposals must be **complete** and contain all the requested information and **all required annexes and supporting documents**:

- Application Form Part A — contains administrative information about the participants (future coordinator, beneficiaries and affiliated entities) and the summarised budget for the project (*to be filled in directly online*)
- Application Form Part B — contains the technical description of the project (*to be downloaded from the Portal Submission System, completed, assembled and re-uploaded*)
- mandatory annexes and supporting documents (*templates to be downloaded from the Portal Submission System, completed, assembled and re-uploaded*):

⁵ A date when the call is opened for submission.

⁶ EUAA's calls are published under EU Bodies and Agencies (EUBA) programme.

- detailed budget table
- *CVs of core project team: not applicable*
- *activity reports of last year: not applicable*
- *list of previous projects: not applicable*



Please note that failing to provide all mandatory annexes in the requested format⁷ by the proposal submission deadline may render your proposal inadmissible⁸.

Please be aware that since the detailed budget table serves as the basis for fixing the lump sums for the grants (and since lump sums must be reliable proxies for the actual costs of a project), the costs you include **MUST** comply with the basic eligibility conditions for EU actual cost grants (see [AGA — Annotated Grant Agreement, art 6](#)). This is particularly important for purchases and subcontracting, which must comply with best value for money principle (or if appropriate the lowest price) and be free of any conflict of interests. If the budget table contains ineligible costs, the grant may be reduced (even later on during the project implementation or after the end).

When submitting the application, you will have to confirm that you have the **mandate to act** for all applicants. Moreover, you will have to confirm that the information in the application is correct and complete and that all participants comply with the conditions for receiving EU funding (especially *eligibility, financial and operational capacity, exclusion, etc*). Before signing the grant, each beneficiary and affiliated entity will have to confirm this again by signing a declaration of honour (DoH). Proposals without full support will be rejected.

Your application must be **readable, accessible and printable** (please check carefully the layout of the documents uploaded).

Proposals are limited to maximum **40 pages** (Application Part B). Evaluators will not consider any additional pages. **Shorter proposals are welcome.**

You may be asked at a later stage for further documents (*for legal entity validation, financial capacity check, bank account validation, etc*).



For more information about the submission process (including IT aspects), consult the [Online Manual](#).

6. Eligibility

Eligible participants (eligible countries)

In order to be eligible, the applicants (beneficiaries and affiliated entities) must:

- be legal entities (public or private bodies)
- be established in one of the eligible countries, i.e.:

⁷ PDF unless another format is specified in the templates.

⁸ See judgment of 18 December 2024, *Institut Jožef Stefan vs European Commission*. T-134/23, EU:T:2024:907, paragraphs 43, 47, 59.

- EU Member States (including overseas countries and territories (OCTs))
- non-EU countries:
 - EUAA associated countries (EUAA Regulation Article 34): Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland.
- be higher education establishment, research organisations or legal clinic.



Note that **only higher education establishments may take the role of coordinator (and single applicant)**. Please read the *Consortium composition* section below for the conditions that apply to coordinator role (and single applicant).

Beneficiaries and affiliated entities must register in the [Participant Register](#) — before submitting the proposal — and will have to be validated by the EU Central Validation Service (REA Validation). For the validation, they will be requested to upload documents showing legal status and origin.

Other entities may participate in other consortium roles, such as associated partners, subcontractors, third parties giving in-kind contributions, etc (*see section 13*).

Specific cases and definitions

Natural persons — Natural persons are NOT eligible (with the exception of self-employed persons, i.e. sole traders, where the company does not have legal personality separate from that of the natural person).

International organisations — International organisations are NOT eligible.

Entities without legal personality — Entities which do not have legal personality under their national law may exceptionally participate, provided that their representatives have the capacity to undertake legal obligations on their behalf, and offer guarantees for the protection of the EU financial interests equivalent to that offered by legal persons.

EU bodies — EU bodies (with the exception of the European Commission Joint Research Centre) can NOT be part of the consortium.

Associations and interest groupings — Entities composed of members may participate as ‘sole beneficiaries’ or ‘beneficiaries without legal personality’⁹.  Please note that if the action is implemented by the members, they should also participate (either as beneficiaries or as affiliated entities, otherwise their costs will NOT be eligible).

EU restrictive measures — Special rules apply for entities subject to [EU restrictive measures](#) under Article 29 of the Treaty on the European Union (TEU) and Article 215 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU)¹⁰. Such entities are not eligible to participate in any capacity, including as beneficiaries, affiliated entities, associated partners, third parties giving in-kind contributions, subcontractors or recipients of financial support to third parties (if any).

⁹ For the definitions, see Articles 190(2) and 200(2)(c) EU Financial Regulation [2024/2509](#).

¹⁰ Please note that the EU Official Journal contains the official list and, in case of conflict, its content prevails over that of the [EU Sanctions Map](#).

EU conditionality measures — Special rules apply for entities subject to measures adopted on the basis of EU Regulation 2020/2092¹¹. Such entities are not eligible to participate in any funded role (beneficiaries, affiliated entities, subcontractors, recipients of financial support to third parties, etc). Currently such measures are in place for Hungarian public interest trusts established under the Hungarian Act IX of 2021 or any entity they maintain (see [Council Implementing Decision \(EU\) 2022/2506](#), as of 16 December 2022).



For more information, see [Rules for Legal Entity Validation, LEAR Appointment and Financial Capacity Assessment](#).

Consortium composition

Single applicants or consortia may apply; affiliated entities and other participants are allowed, if needed.

However, **only higher education establishments may take the role of coordinator (and single applicant)**. This means that:

- applications submitted by a single applicant¹² must be submitted by a higher education establishment.
- In case of consortia, higher education establishment must take role of coordinator.



Please read the *eligible participants* section above for the eligibility conditions that apply to applicants.

Eligible activities

Applications will only be considered eligible if their content corresponds wholly (or at least in part) to the call description for which they are submitted.

Eligible activities are the ones set out in section 2 above.

Projects must comply with EU policy interests and priorities (*such as environment, social, security, industrial and trade policy, etc*). Projects must also respect EU values and European Commission policy regarding reputational matters (*e.g. activities involving capacity building, policy support, awareness raising, communication, dissemination, etc*).

Financial support to third parties will be accepted in projects which aim to involve students to deliver the research, analysis or other relevant tasks.

Your project application must clearly specify why financial support to third parties is needed, how it will be managed and provide a list of the different types of activities for which a third party may receive financial support. The proposal must also clearly describe the results to be obtained. The call under which the third parties (students) are selected must conform to EU standards

¹¹ Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget (OJ L 325, 20.12.2022, p. 94).

¹² Note that in case of a single applicant, affiliated entities and other participants are allowed, if needed.

concerning transparency, equal treatment, conflict of interest and confidentiality.

For more information, see [Good practices for implementing FSTP in EU grants](#).

Geographic location (target countries)

Not applicable.

Duration

Projects should normally range between 12 and 18 months.

Project budget

The requested grant amount per project must not exceed **EUR 104 500**.

The grant awarded may be lower than the amount requested.

Ethics and values

Projects must comply with:

- highest ethical standards,
- EU values based on Article 2 of the Treaty on the European Union and Article 21 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and
- applicable EU, international and national law.

For more information, see [How to complete your ethics self-assessment](#).

Security

Not applicable.

7. Financial and operational capacity and exclusion

Financial capacity

Applicants must have **stable and sufficient resources** to successfully implement the projects and contribute their share. Organisations participating in several projects must have sufficient capacity to implement all projects.

The financial capacity check will be carried out on the basis of the documents you will be requested to upload in the [Participant Register](#) during grant preparation (*e.g. profit and loss account and balance sheet, business plan, audit report produced by an approved external auditor, certifying the accounts for the last closed financial year, etc*). The analysis will be based on neutral

financial indicators, but will also take into account other aspects, such as dependency on EU funding and deficit and revenue in previous years.

The check will normally be done for all beneficiaries, except:

- public bodies (entities established as public body under national law, including local, regional or national authorities) or international organisations
- if the requested grant amount is not more than EUR 60 000.

If needed, it may also be done for affiliated entities.

If we consider that your financial capacity is not satisfactory, we may require:

- further information
- an enhanced financial responsibility regime, i.e. joint and several responsibility for all beneficiaries or joint and several liability of affiliated entities (*see below, section 10*)
- prefinancing paid in instalments
- (one or more) prefinancing guarantees (*see below, section 10*)

or

- propose no prefinancing
- request that you are replaced or, if needed, reject the entire proposal.



For more information, see [Rules for Legal Entity Validation, LEAR Appointment and Financial Capacity Assessment](#).

Operational capacity

Applicants must have the **know-how**, **qualifications** and **resources** to successfully implement the projects and contribute their share (including sufficient experience in projects of comparable size and nature).

This capacity will be assessed together with the 'Quality' award criterion, on the basis of the competence and experience of the applicants and their project teams, including operational resources (human, technical and other) or, exceptionally, the measures proposed to obtain it by the time the task implementation starts.

If the evaluation of the award criterion is positive, the applicants are considered to have sufficient operational capacity.

Applicants will have to show their capacity via the following information:

- general profiles (tasks, qualifications and experiences) of the staff responsible for managing and implementing the project (*section of Application Form Part B*)
- description of the consortium participants (*section of Application Form Part B*)

Additional supporting documents may be requested, if needed to confirm the operational capacity of any applicant.

Public bodies, Member State organisations and international organisations are exempted from the operational capacity check.

Exclusion

Applicants which are subject to an **EU exclusion decision** or are in one or more of the following **exclusion situations** that bar them from receiving EU funding can NOT participate¹³:

- bankruptcy, winding up, affairs administered by the courts, arrangement with creditors, suspended business activities or other similar procedures (including procedures for persons with unlimited liability for the applicant's debts)
- in breach of social security or tax obligations (including if done by persons with unlimited liability for the applicant's debts)
- guilty of grave professional misconduct¹⁴ (including if done by persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control, beneficial owners or persons who are essential for the award/implementation of the grant)
- committed fraud, corruption, links to a criminal organisation, money laundering, terrorism-related crimes (including terrorism financing), child labour or human trafficking (including if done by persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control, beneficial owners or persons who are essential for the award/implementation of the grant)
- shown significant deficiencies in complying with main obligations under an EU procurement contract, grant agreement, prize, expert contract, or similar (including if done by persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control, beneficial owners or persons who are essential for the award/implementation of the grant)
- guilty of irregularities within the meaning of Article 1(2) of EU Regulation [2988/95](#) (including if done by persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control, beneficial owners or persons who are essential for the award/implementation of the grant)
- created under a different jurisdiction with the intent to circumvent fiscal, social or other legal obligations in the country of origin or created another entity with this purpose (including if done by persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control, beneficial owners or persons who are essential for the award/implementation of the grant)

¹³ See Articles 138 and 143 of EU Financial Regulation [2024/2509](#).

¹⁴ 'Professional misconduct' includes, in particular, the following: violation of ethical standards of the profession; wrongful conduct with impact on professional credibility; breach of generally accepted professional ethical standards; false declarations/misrepresentation of information; participation in a cartel or other agreement distorting competition; violation of IPR; attempting to influence decision-making processes by taking advantage, through misrepresentation, of a conflict of interests, or to obtain confidential information from public authorities to gain an advantage; incitement to discrimination, hatred or violence or similar activities contrary to the EU values where negatively affecting or risking to affect the performance of a legal commitment.

- intentionally and without proper justification resisted¹⁵ an investigation, check or audit carried out by an EU authorising officer (or their representative or auditor), OLAF, the EPPO, or the European Court of Auditors.

In addition, applicants will also be rejected if it turns out that¹⁶:

- during the award procedure, they misrepresented information required as a condition for participating or failed to supply that information
- they were previously involved in the preparation of the call and this entails a distortion of competition that cannot be remedied otherwise (conflict of interest).

We will check that you are not in one or more of the exclusion situations at several stages - during evaluation process, grant agreement preparation and before payment. The verification will be done based on the declaration of honour. In addition, the [European Union's Early Detection and Exclusion System](#) (EDES) will be consulted.

8. Evaluation and award procedure

The proposals will have to follow the **standard submission and evaluation procedure** (one-stage submission + one-step evaluation).

An **evaluation committee** will assess all applications. Proposals will first be checked for formal requirements (admissibility, and eligibility, *see sections 5 and 6*). Proposals found admissible and eligible will be evaluated against the operational capacity and award criteria (*see sections 7 and 9*) and then ranked according to their scores.

For proposals with the same score, a **priority order** will be determined according to the scores they have been awarded for the award criterion 'Quality'.

All proposals will be informed about the evaluation result (**evaluation result letter**). Successful proposals will be invited for grant preparation; the remaining ones will be put on the reserve list or rejected.

 No commitment for funding — Invitation to grant preparation does NOT constitute a formal commitment for funding. We may still need to make various legal checks before grant award: *legal entity validation, financial capacity, exclusion check, etc.*

Grant preparation may involve a dialogue in order to fine-tune technical or financial aspects of the project and may require extra information from your side. It may also include adjustments to the proposal to address recommendations of the evaluation committee or other concerns. Full compliance will be a pre-condition for signing the grant. Also, as part of the grant preparation,

¹⁵ 'Resisting an investigation, check or audit' means carrying out actions with the goal or effect of preventing, hindering or delaying the conduct of any of the activities needed to perform the investigation, check or audit, such as refusing to grant the necessary access to its premises or any other areas used for business purposes, concealing or refusing to disclose information or providing false information.

¹⁶ See Article 143 EU Financial Regulation [2024/2509](#).

your application will be transferred to the grant agreement annex 1 (description of action) and annex 2 (estimated budget).

If you believe that the evaluation procedure was flawed, you can submit a **complaint** (following the deadlines and procedures set out in the evaluation result letter).

9. Award criteria

The **award criteria** for this call are as follows:

1. Relevance (40 points):

- Clarity and consistency of the action, incl. clearly defined needs and related specific project's objectives, and extent to which the proposal matches the objectives of the call.
- European/trans-national dimension. *Proposal must cover jurisprudence on international protection at least from the majority of EU Member States, Iceland, Norway and Switzerland.*

2. Quality (40 points):

- Logical links between the identified needs and proposed solutions/actions
- Quality of the consortium (if applicable), participants' profiles and the project teams, and appropriate division of roles and procedures to cooperate within the project. *Project team must demonstrate expertise in the field of international protection or human rights.*
- Methodology for implementing the project including organisation of the work (procedures and allocation of resources), project management, involvement of subcontractors, timetable and feasibility, risk management, monitoring and evaluation.
- Cost effectiveness (sufficient/appropriate budget for proper implementation; best value for money)

3. Impact (20 points):

- Ambition and expected long-term impact of results on target groups/general public.
- Appropriate communication, visibility and dissemination strategy.

In the impact and communication area, the proposal must focus on increased awareness on the EUAA Case Law Database, the CEAS and the Pact.

- Sustainability of results after EUAA funding ends, replicability and potential for their amplification.

Award criteria	Minimum pass score	Maximum score
Relevance	21	40
Quality	21	40
Impact	11	20
Overall (pass) scores	60	100

Maximum points: 100 points.

Individual thresholds per criterion: 21/40, 21/40 and 11/20 points.

Overall threshold: 60 points.

Proposals that pass the individual thresholds AND the overall threshold will be considered for funding — within the limits of the available budget (i.e. up to the budget ceiling). Other proposals will be rejected.

10. Legal and financial set-up of the Grant Agreements

If you pass evaluation, your project will be invited for grant preparation, where you will be asked to prepare the Grant Agreement together with the EUAA Project Officer.

This [Agreement](#) will set the framework for your grant and its terms and conditions, in particular concerning deliverables, reporting and payments.

Starting date and project duration

The project starting date and duration will be fixed in the Grant Agreement (*Data Sheet, point 1*). Normally the starting date will be after grant signature.

Project duration: *see section 6 above*. Projects of longer duration may be accepted in duly justified cases. Extensions are possible, if duly justified and through an amendment.

Milestones and Deliverables

Activities must be grouped into work packages which are major sub-divisions of the project (*e.g. Project Management and Coordination, Communication and Dissemination, etc.*).

For each work package, an objective and lists of tasks/activities deliverables must be defined. The deliverables must be quantifiable and measurable. Their structure should be logical and guided by identifiable outputs with clear indicators.

The deliverables for each project will be reflected in Annex 1 of the Grant Agreement and will be monitored by the EUAA Project Officer to assess the project's progress.

The following deliverables will be mandatory for all projects:

1. **Project monitoring table:** beneficiaries must keep track of the submission and acceptance of deliverables in a project monitoring table which they will submit at the beginning and throughout the project implementation.
2. **Monthly deliverable of a case law collection:**
Periodicity and number of judgments/decisions: summaries in English of minimum 50 and maximum 75 judgments/decisions, in the field of international protection, which are not already available in the EUAA Case Law Database, submitted monthly to the EUAA.

Topics to cover: The judgments/decisions may cover topics, such as:

- Asylum procedures;
- Qualification for international protection;
- The procedure for a transfer between Member States responsible for the examination of applications for international protection (Dublin procedure);
- The reception system for applicants for international protection;
- The rights and benefits provided to beneficiaries of international protection;
- Detention of applicants for international protection;
- Resettlement and humanitarian admissions;
- Temporary protection.

Format of the submission: Summaries must be submitted in the format agreed between the beneficiary and the granting authority (e.g. by following the template available in the [About](#) page of the EUAA Case Law Database and provided upon signature of the Grant Agreement; online submission in the EUAA Case Law Database).

Source for the summaries of judgments/decisions: The 50-75 summaries of judgments/decisions delivered monthly must be publicly available in free access databases or websites and the link to the source where the original text of the judgment/decision is available must be included in the summary provided to the EUAA. The judgments/decisions must have been pronounced by the Court of Justice of the EU, the European Court of Human Rights, appeal bodies and courts of EU Member States, Iceland, Norway and Switzerland, as well as quasi-judicial bodies of the United Nations.

Temporal distribution of the judgments/decisions: At least ½ of the judgments/decisions submitted every month to the EUAA must have been pronounced within the last six months from the date of submission of the deliverable. The remaining balance may cover earlier judgments/decisions.

Distribution of judgments by jurisdiction: For the entire period of the grant, at least ½ of the relevant judgments/decisions submitted must be from Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands and Switzerland.

In addition, for the entire period of the grant, at least ¼ of the judgments submitted must be relevant judgments/decisions in cases in which the original judgment was in French and ¼ in German, and the rest from at least 10 other EU+ countries. For an overview on

cases registered by jurisdiction in the EUAA Case Law Database, see the [Digest by Jurisdiction](#) page and the [Search Database](#) page.

Methodology: Each summary for a judgment/decision must follow the methodology, rules for registration and templates available in the [About](#) page of the EUAA Case Law Database. Each summary includes the name of the parties, country of origin of the applicant(s), date of decision, country/jurisdiction of decision, court name, type of decision (judgment, decision, interim order, views, etc), case number, headline, abstract, keywords, legislative provisions, source name and link to the public source. The abstracts must be factual and objective and have a length adapted to the complexity of the case (usually minimum 2,000 characters).

3. **Monthly registration of cases in the EUAA Case Law Database** - Within a month from the submission of the monthly deliverable of case law collection (*point 2 above*), the EUAA reviews the quality of this collection and selects judgments/decisions that are relevant for the implementation of CEAS by courts and tribunals.

The EUAA may split the collection of judgments/decisions and provide earlier its quality review for smaller groups of judgments/decisions.

The judgments/decisions selected by the EUAA must then be registered by the beneficiary within 1 week in the EUAA Case Law Database using the page 'Submit New Case', available at <https://caselaw.euaa.europa.eu/Pages/submit.aspx>.

For information on what is considered a relevant judgment/decision for the implementation of CEAS by courts and tribunals, please see the [About](#) page of the EUAA Case Law Database, available at <https://caselaw.euaa.europa.eu/Pages/default.aspx>

Judgments/decisions registered in the EUAA Case Law Database must be anonymised and they must not be already available in the EUAA Case Law Database.

By the end of the project, register a minimum number of relevant judgments/decisions in the EUAA Case Law Database, which is calculated by multiplying the number of months proposed for the project by 50 summaries per month (e.g. for a project proposed to last 14 months, the beneficiary must register a minimum of 700 judgments; for a project proposed to last 18 months, the beneficiary must register a minimum of 900 judgments).

The cases registered in the EUAA Case Law Database may serve as input to EUAA products.

4. **Analytical thematic reports and products on asylum case law** - A minimum of one report must be delivered at least every five months, analysing case law on asylum topics related to CEAS or related to the implementation of the Pact on Migration and Asylum. The topics must be agreed in advance with the EUAA before the start of work on the deliverable.

Each report must have a minimum of 25 pages of case law analysis on a topic approved by the EUAA for the purpose of this project.

The reports must follow the EUAA Style Guide and the sample case law reports available in the Publications page of the EUAA Case Law Database.

The reports will be published by the EUAA on the EUAA website and on the EUAA Case Law Database in the Publications page. Prior to making these reports available on the EUAA Case Law Database and on the EUAA website, the EUAA will review them within 1 month from their receipt and may request additional information and provide comments with suggestions for improvements. The beneficiary must provide a final draft within 3 weeks from receipt of comments from the EUAA.

For an overview of reports published in the EUAA Case Law Database, see the [Publications](#) page.

To identify the obligatory deliverables, application form part B should include the following types: CLC (MX) for case law collection in Month X; REG (MX) — monthly case law registration. Do not include individual case law summary registered as a unique deliverable. Provide e.g. one REG deliverable per month and describe the indicative numbers in the description field.

The templates to be used must be agreed with the granting authority.

Form of grant, funding rate and maximum grant amount

The grant parameters (*maximum grant amount, funding rate, total eligible costs, etc.*) will be fixed in the Grant Agreement (*Data Sheet, point 3 and art 5*).

Requested grant amount: *see section 6 above*.

The grant will be a lump sum grant. The amount will be fixed by the EUAA on the basis of the estimated project budget and a funding rate of **95%** (or lower requested grant amount, if any).

For more information, see [How to manage your lump sum grants](#). Please note that while the general approach applies, the evaluation, grant agreement preparation and project implementation will be managed by the EUAA outside the standard Commission IT tools.

Budget categories and cost eligibility rules

The budget categories and cost eligibility rules are fixed in the Grant Agreement (*Data Sheet, point 3, art 6 and Annex 2*).

Budget categories:

- Lump sum costs contributions¹⁷

Specific cost eligibility rules for this call:

- the lump sum amount must be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in the lump sum authorising decision¹⁷ and using the detailed budget table/calculator provided (if any)
- the lump sum calculation should respect the following conditions:

¹⁷ [Decision](#) authorising the use of lump sum contributions for EUBA-EUAA-2026-ASYLUMCASELAW — Asylum case law collection.

- for lump sums based on estimated project budgets: the estimated budget must comply with the basic eligibility conditions for EU actual cost grants (see [AGA — Annotated Grant Agreement, art 6](#)), in particular:
 - A. personnel costs¹⁸:
 - Employees (A.1), Natural persons under direct contract (A.2), and seconded persons (A.3): Yes
 - SME owner/natural person unit cost¹⁹: n/a
 - volunteers unit cost²⁰: n/a
 - B. Subcontracting costs: Yes
 - C. Purchase costs: Yes
 - travel and subsistence (C.1): Yes²¹ - unit cost²²
 - equipment costs (C.2): Yes – depreciation
 - other goods, works and services (C.3): Yes
 - D. other cost categories:
 - costs for financial support to third parties (D.1): Yes
 - E. indirect cost flat-rate: Yes - 7% of the eligible direct costs (categories A-D)
 - VAT: non-deductible/non-refundable VAT is eligible (but please note that since 2013 VAT paid by beneficiaries that are public bodies acting as public authority is NOT eligible)
 - other:
 - in-kind contributions for free are allowed, but cost-neutral, i.e. they cannot be declared as cost
 - other ineligible costs: No

Reporting and payment arrangements

The reporting and payment arrangements are fixed in the Grant Agreement (*Data Sheet, point 4 and art 21 and 22*).

After grant agreement signature, you will normally receive a **prefinancing** to start working on the project (float of normally **80%** of the maximum grant amount; exceptionally less or no prefinancing). The prefinancing will be paid 30 days from entry into force/starting date/financial guarantee (if required) — whichever is the latest.

There will be no interim payments.

¹⁸ EUAA cost eligibility options: standard supplementary payments - Yes; Parental leave – No; Average personnel costs (unit cost according to usual cost accounting practices) – No.

¹⁹ Executive Director [Decision](#) No 1/2026 of 03 February 2026 authorising the use of unit costs for the owners of small and medium-sized enterprises, volunteers, and travel, accommodation and subsistence applicable in the context of EUAA grant agreements (EDD 01/2026).

²⁰ [EDD 01/2026](#)

²¹ See [EU Grants AGA — Annotated Grant Agreement](#), art 6 on eligible costs: travel and subsistence costs must be declared using the unit cost according to [Annex 2a of the grant agreement](#). If a particular instance of travel, accommodation or subsistence in the action is not covered by one of the unit costs mentioned in Decision C(2021)35 the actual costs may be used.

²² [EDD 01/2026](#).

Payment of the balance: At the end of the project, we will calculate your final grant amount. If the total of earlier payments is higher than the final grant amount, we will ask you (your coordinator) to pay back the difference (recovery).

All payments will be made to the coordinator.

 Please be aware that payments will be automatically lowered if you or one of your consortium members has outstanding debts towards the EU (granting authority or other EU bodies). Such debts will be offset by us — in line with the conditions set out in the Grant Agreement (*see art 22*).

Please also note that you are responsible for keeping records on all the work done.

Prefinancing guarantees

If a prefinancing guarantee is required, it will be fixed in the Grant Agreement (*Data Sheet, point 4*). The amount will be set during grant preparation and it will normally be equal or lower than the prefinancing for your grant.

The guarantee should be in euro and issued by an approved bank/financial institution established in an EU Member State. If you are established in a non-EU country and would like to provide a guarantee from a bank/financial institution in your country, please contact us (this may be exceptionally accepted, if it offers equivalent security).

Amounts blocked in bank accounts will NOT be accepted as financial guarantees.

Prefinancing guarantees are normally requested from the coordinator, for the consortium. They must be provided during grant preparation, in time to make the prefinancing (scanned copy by email AND original by post).

If agreed with us, the bank guarantee may be replaced by a guarantee from a third party.

The guarantee will be released at the end of the grant, in accordance with the conditions laid down in the Grant Agreement (*art 23*).

Certificates

Depending on the type of action, size of grant amount and type of beneficiaries, you may be requested to submit different certificates. The types, schedules and thresholds for each certificate are fixed in the Grant Agreement (*Data Sheet, point 4 and art 24*).

Liability regime for recoveries

The liability regime for recoveries will be fixed in the Grant Agreement (*Data Sheet point 4.4 and art 22*).

For beneficiaries, it is one of the following:

- limited joint and several liability with individual ceilings — *each beneficiary up to their maximum grant amount*

- unconditional joint and several liability — *each beneficiary up to the maximum grant amount for the action*

or

- individual financial responsibility — *each beneficiary only for their own debts.*

In addition, we may require joint and several liability of affiliated entities (with their beneficiary).

Provisions concerning the project implementation

IPR rules: see [Grant Agreement](#) (art 16 and Annex 5):

- rights of use on results: Yes

Communication, dissemination and visibility of funding: see [Grant Agreement](#) (art 17 and Annex 5):

- additional communication and dissemination activities: Yes
- special logos: Yes

Specific rules for carrying out the action: see [Grant Agreement](#) (art 18 and Annex 5):

- EU restricted measures: Yes
- durability: No

Communication between the parties: see [Grant Agreement](#) (art 36):

- Grants managed outside of the EU Funding & Tenders Portal: Yes

Other specificities

Consortium agreement: Yes

Non-compliance and breach of contract

The Grant Agreement (chapter 5) provides for the measures we may take in case of breach of contract (and other non-compliance issues).



For more information, see [AGA — Annotated Grant Agreement](#).

11. How to submit an application

All proposals must be submitted directly online via the EU Funding & Tenders Portal Electronic Submission System. Paper applications are NOT accepted.

Submission is a **2-step process**:

- a) **create a user account and register your organisation**

To use the Submission System (the only way to apply), all participants need to [create an EU Login user account](#).

Once you have an EU Login account, you can [register your organisation](#) in the Participant Register. When your registration is finalised, you will receive a 9-digit participant identification code (PIC).

b) submit the proposal

Access the Electronic Submission System via the Topic page in the [Calls for proposals](#) section²³ (or, for calls sent by invitation to submit a proposal, through the link provided in the invitation letter).

Submit your proposal in 3 parts, as follows:

- Part A includes administrative information about the applicant organisations (future coordinator, beneficiaries, affiliated entities and associated partners²⁴) and the summarised budget for the proposal. Fill it in directly online.
- Part B (description of the action) covers the technical content of the proposal. Download the mandatory word template from the Submission System, fill it in and upload it as a PDF file.
- Annexes (*see section 5*). Upload them as PDF file (single or multiple depending on the slots). Excel upload is sometimes possible, depending on the file type.

The proposal must keep the format unchanged and respect the **page limits** (*see section 5*); excess pages will be disregarded.

Documents must be uploaded to the **right category** in the Submission System, otherwise the proposal may be considered incomplete and thus inadmissible.

The proposal must be submitted **before the call deadline** (*see section 4*). After this deadline, the system is closed, and proposals can no longer be submitted.

Once the proposal is submitted, you will receive a **confirmation e-mail** (with date and time of your application). If you do not receive this confirmation e-mail, it means your proposal has NOT been submitted. If you believe this is due to a fault in the Submission System, you should immediately file a complaint via the [IT Helpdesk webform](#), explaining the circumstances and attaching a copy of the proposal (and, if possible, screenshots to show what happened).

Details on processes and procedures are described in the [Online Manual](#). The Online Manual also contains the links to FAQs and detailed instructions regarding the Portal Electronic Exchange System.

²³ EUAA's calls are published under EU Bodies and Agencies (EUBA) programme.

²⁴ [See](#) section 13 for more information on consortium roles and the roles of coordinator, affiliated entities and associated partners.



Please, note that after the submission of your application (through the EU Funding & Tenders Portal), the evaluation, grant agreement preparation and project implementation will be managed by the EUAA outside the standard Commission IT tools.

12. Help

As far as possible, ***please try to find the answers you need yourself***, in this and the other documentation:

- [Online Manual](#) (*note only sections 1, 2 and 3.1 apply; EUAA will evaluate the proposals and manage the grants outside of the EU Commission's IT platform*).
- Topic Q&A on the Topic page (for call-specific questions in open calls; not applicable for actions by invitation)
- [Portal FAQ](#) (for general questions).

Please also consult the Call and Topic page regularly, since we will use them to publish call updates. (For invitations, we will contact you directly in case of a call update).

Contact

For individual questions on the Portal Submission System, please contact the [IT Helpdesk](#).

Non-IT related questions should be sent to the following email address: **grants@euaa.europa.eu**.



Please send your questions at the latest 10 working days before the submission deadline (see section 4) AND indicate clearly the reference of the call and topic to which your question relates (see cover page). Note that there may be delays in answering to your questions during August 2026.

13. Important



IMPORTANT

- **Don't wait until the end** — Complete your application sufficiently in advance of the deadline to avoid any last-minute **technical problems**. Problems due to last minute submissions (*e.g. congestion, etc*) will be entirely at your risk. Call deadlines can NOT be extended.
- **Consult** the Portal Call and Topic page regularly. We will use them to publish updates and additional information on the call (call and topic updates).
- **EU Funding & Tenders Portal Electronic Exchange System** — By submitting the application, all participants **accept** to use the electronic exchange system in accordance with the [Portal Terms & Conditions](#).
- **Registration** — Before submitting the application, all beneficiaries, affiliated entities and associated partners must be registered in the [Participant Register](#). The participant identification code (PIC) (one per participant) is mandatory for the Application Form.
- **Consortium roles** — When setting up your consortium, you should think of organisations that help you reach objectives and solve problems.

The roles should be attributed according to the level of participation in the project. Main participants should participate as **beneficiaries** or **affiliated entities**; other entities can participate as associated partners, subcontractors, third parties giving in-kind contributions. **Associated partners** and third parties giving in-kind contributions should bear their own costs (they will not become formal recipients of EU funding). **Subcontracting** should normally constitute a limited part and must be performed by third parties (not by one of the beneficiaries/affiliated entities). Subcontracting going beyond 30% of the total eligible costs must be justified in the application.

- **Coordinator** — In multi-beneficiary grants, the partners participate as consortium (group of beneficiaries). They will have to choose a coordinator, who will take care of the project management and coordination and will represent the consortium towards the granting authority. In mono-beneficiary grants, the single beneficiary will automatically have the role of coordinator.
- **Affiliated entities** — Applicants may participate with affiliated entities (i.e. entities linked to a beneficiary which participate in the action with similar rights and obligations as the beneficiaries, but do not sign the grant and therefore do not become beneficiaries themselves). They will get a part of the grant money and must therefore comply with all the call conditions and be validated (just like beneficiaries); but they do not count towards the minimum eligibility criteria for consortium composition (if any).
- **Associated partners** — Applicants may participate with associated partners (i.e. partner organisations which participate in the action but without the right to get grant money). They participate without funding and therefore do not need to be validated.
- **Consortium agreement** — For practical and legal reasons it is recommended to set up internal arrangements that allow you to deal with exceptional or unforeseen circumstances (in all cases, even if not mandatory under the Grant Agreement). The consortium agreement also gives you the possibility to redistribute the grant money according to your own consortium-internal principles and parameters (for instance, one partner can reattribute its grant money to another partner). The consortium agreement thus allows you to customise the EU grant to the needs inside your consortium and can also help to protect you in case of disputes.

- **Balanced project budget** — Applications must ensure a balanced project budget and sufficient other resources to implement the project successfully (*e.g. own contributions, income generated by the action, financial contributions from third parties, etc*). You may be requested to lower your estimated costs, if they are ineligible (including excessive).
- **Completed/ongoing projects** — Proposals for projects that have already been completed will be rejected; proposals for projects that have already started will be assessed on a case-by-case basis (in this case, no costs can be reimbursed for activities that took place before the project starting date/proposal submission).
- **No-profit rule** — Grants may NOT give a profit (i.e. surplus of revenues + EU grant over costs). This will be checked by us at the end of the project.
- **No cumulation of funding/no double funding** — It is strictly prohibited to cumulate funding from the EU budget, except under 'EU Synergies actions'. Outside such Synergies actions, any given action may receive only ONE grant from the EU budget and cost items may under NO circumstances be declared under two EU grants; projects must be designed as different actions, clearly delineated and separated for each grant (without overlaps).
- **Combination with EU operating grants** — Combination with EU operating grants is possible, if the project remains outside the operating grant work programme and you make sure that cost items are clearly separated in your accounting and NOT declared twice (*see [AGA — Annotated Grant Agreement, art. 6.2.E](#)*).
- **Multiple proposals** — Applicants may submit more than one proposal for *different* projects under the same call (and be awarded funding for them).

Organisations may participate in several proposals.

BUT: if there are several proposals for *very similar* projects, only one application will be accepted and evaluated; the applicants will be asked to withdraw the others (or they will be rejected).

- **Resubmission** — Proposals may be changed and re-submitted until the deadline for submission.
- **Rejection** — By submitting the application, all applicants accept the call conditions set out in this this Call document (and the documents it refers to). Proposals that do not comply with all the call conditions will be rejected. This applies also to applicants: All applicants need to fulfil the criteria; if any one of them doesn't, they must be replaced or the entire proposal will be rejected.
- **Cancellation** — There may be circumstances which may require the cancellation of the call. In this case, you will be informed via a call or topic update. Please note that cancellations are without entitlement to compensation.
- **Language** — You can submit your proposal in any official EU language (project abstract/summary should however always be in English). For reasons of efficiency, we strongly advise you to use English for the entire application. If you need the call documentation in another official EU language, please submit a request within 10 days after call publication (for the contact information, *see section 12*).
- **Use of generative AI tools** — Be careful about using generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools for preparing your proposal. While not generally prohibited, you will be fully accountable for any parts that were generated using such tools (including appropriateness, quality and compliance with applicable laws, in particular, rules on data privacy, confidentiality and protection of intellectual property).

Applicants that use such AI tools are, in particular, required to:

- Be transparent about it. Disclose as part of your Application Form which AI tools were used and how they were utilized.
 - Thoroughly review and validate AI-generated content. Verify the accuracy, validity, and appropriateness of the content and correct errors or inconsistencies.
 - Provide a list of sources used to generate content and citations, including those generated by the AI tool. Double-check citations to ensure they are accurate and properly referenced.
 - Be conscious of the potential for plagiarism where the AI tool may have reproduced substantial text parts from other sources. Check the original sources to be sure you are not plagiarizing someone else’s work.
 - Acknowledge the limitations of AI tools, including the potential for bias, errors, and gaps in knowledge.
- **Transparency** — In accordance with Article 38 of the [EU Financial Regulation](#), information about EUAA grants awarded is published each year on the [EUAA Website](#).

This includes:

- beneficiary names
- beneficiary addresses
- the purpose for which the grant was awarded
- the maximum amount awarded.

The publication can exceptionally be waived (on reasoned and duly substantiated request), if there is a risk that the disclosure could jeopardise your rights and freedoms under the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights or harm your commercial interests.

- **Data protection** — The submission of a proposal under this call involves the collection, use and processing of personal data. This data will be processed in accordance with the applicable legal framework. It will be processed solely for the purpose of evaluating your proposal, subsequent management of your grant and, if needed, programme monitoring, evaluation and communication. Details are explained in the [Funding & Tenders Portal Privacy Statement](#) and the [EUAA Privacy Notice](#).