

6.4.3. Conclusion on reasonableness

COMMON ANALYSIS

Last update: October 2025

The general conclusions of the reasonableness of IPA for particular profiles of applicants are based on an assessment of the general situation in Mogadishu, Garowe and Hargeisa, and the applicant's individual circumstances, as outlined above.

Mogadishu

IPA **might be reasonable in exceptional cases** which may include, *inter alia*, single able-bodied men and married couples without children and/or no additional vulnerabilities, who belong to the majority or dominant clan families in the area of settlement, who have educational and professional background facilitating access to employment or with a support network which is able and willing to assist them in accessing basic subsistence.

Garowe and Hargeisa

IPA **may be reasonable** in cases of single, able-bodied men and married couples without children and/or no additional vulnerabilities, who belong to the locally dominant clan.

Internal protection alternative would **in general not be reasonable for families with children and unaccompanied children**, as well as with applicants from **minority groups**, including clans who can be considered minorities in the local context.

In the case of other profiles, the individual circumstances of the applicant, in particular in relation to clan affiliation, gender, age, the existence of a support/clan network, etc. should be given due consideration.

For more details on the demographic composition of these cities, see [Country Focus 2025](#), [2.1.1.](#), [2.2.1.](#), [2.3.1.](#)

Continue reading the common analysis on:

- [6.1. Part of the country](#)
- [6.2. Safety](#)
- [6.3. Travel and admittance](#)
- [6.4. Reasonableness to settle](#)

- [6.5. General conclusion on the applicability of IPA](#)