6.4.3. Conclusions on reasonableness

COMMON ANALYSIS
Last update: November 2024

Taking into account the ethno-religious background of the applicant, and without prejudice to the criteria of safety, travel and admittance, it could be substantiated that IPA in the cities of Baghdad and Sulaymaniyah would be reasonable for **single able-bodied men and married couples without children**, who have identification documents and have no additional vulnerabilities, including when they do not have a support network. In the **case of families** with children, internal protection alternative may be reasonable, including without a support network, where the best interests of the child have been duly assessed.

Although settling in these two cities would entails certain hardship, such applicants would seem able to ensure their basic subsistence and have access to housing, shelter and hygiene, and basic healthcare.

In order to ensure their basic needs, **other profiles of applicants** would in general need a support network in the area of potential IPA.

Continue reading the common analysis on:

- Preliminary remarks
- 6.1. Part of the country
- 6.2. Safety
- 6.3. Travel and admittance
- 6.4. Reasonableness to settle
  - 6.4.1. General situation
  - 6.4.2. Individual circumstances
  - 6.4.3. Conclusions on reasonableness

© European Union Agency for Asylum 2026 | Email: info@euaa.europa.eu