

Please cite as: EUAA, '5.3.2. Availability of protection against persecution or serious harm' in *CGS for TCDS*, November 2023.

5.3.2. Availability of protection against persecution or serious harm

COMMON ANALYSIS
Last update: June 2022

Alternatively, case officers may determine that the requirement of safety is satisfied if the applicant would have access to protection against persecution or serious harm as defined in Article 7 QD in the area where IPA is considered. In the case of persecution by the State, a presumption of non-availability of State protection applies.

See the chapter on 5. Actors of protection.

In relation to Elishol, the requirement of safety could be satisfied, depending on the profile and the individual circumstances of the applicant.

See other topics concerning the Internal Protection Alternative:

- 5.1. Preliminary remarks
- 5.2. Part of the country
- 5.3. Safety
  - 5.3.1. Absence of persecution or serious harm
  - *5.3.2. Availability of protection against persecution or serious harm*
- 5.4. Travel and admittance
- 5.5. Reasonableness to settle
  - 5.5.1. General situation
  - 5.5.2. Individual circumstances
  - 5.5.3. Conclusions on reasonableness

© European Union Agency for Asylum 2026 | Email: info@euaa.europa.eu