

## 5.6.3. Assessing the age of an applicant claiming to be a child

### 5.6.3. Assessing the age of an applicant claiming to be a child



ECRE published a legal note on challenges in age assessment procedures and the international and European legal standards that guide the process in January 2023.[1406](#)

The Spanish Ministry of Justice approved a preliminary draft of a new law on age assessments. The process aims to bring the legislative framework in line with recommendations from the UNCRC and the Spanish Ombudsperson.[1407](#) Civil society organisations working with unaccompanied minors sent their comments on the draft law. The organisations argued, for example, that the procedure should be planned as preferential but not urgent. This would allow judges to suspend or extend deadlines when necessary to make an adequate age assessment.[1408](#)

Convive-Fundación Cepaim noted that the trend from previous years continued, whereby unaccompanied children arriving in Ceuta and Melilla declared themselves as adults to be transferred more quickly to the mainland.[1409](#)

In Luxembourg, due to a rise in the number of applications from unaccompanied minors, and thus in cases where minority was in doubt, the Directorate of Immigration requested an increase in the number of age assessments that could be conducted in a month.

The description of the age assessment method followed by the National Board of Forensic Medicine was updated in Sweden. The procedure itself did not change, but its description became more detailed and transparent to minimise risks and to interpret the margin of errors and factors affecting the statistical model. The legal position on a child's age assessment for family reunification was updated with recent CJEU case law (see [Section 4.14](#)). The Netherlands Forensic Institute changed its practice based on a recent literature review, and since October 2022, applicants with a mature collarbone are considered to be at least 18 years old, instead of 20 years.[1410](#)

The UNCRC expressed its concern about the generalised use of the age determination procedure in Greece, and it found the procedure to be inappropriate overall.[1411](#) The Greek Council for Refugees observed delays in the age assessment procedure in Samos due to a lack of trained staff.[1412](#)

Caritas International noted a gap in Belgian age assessment practices, leaving several youngsters on the streets without access to material reception conditions. In October and November 2022, the Guardianship Service did not conduct age assessment procedures among the youngsters sleeping outdoors, but without a proof of their minority, these youngsters could not be prioritised for reception. Qualifying as single male adults, they had little chance to be accommodated during the crisis situation (see [Sections 4.7 and 5.6.5](#)). [1413](#)

The Cypriot Administrative Court of International Protection [observed](#) several deficiencies in the age assessment procedure of a Somali applicant. The youngster provided his birth certificate in English, but this was not evaluated by the authorities. He needed to undergo a medical examination, without receiving details on the reason for it nor about the possibility that he can provide comments on the procedure. The choice of method for the medical examination was not reasoned and justified. In addition, for the purpose of family reunification, the authorities took into account the date of his medical examination and not the date of his application for international protection.

The Immigration Appeals Board in Malta [examined](#) the age determination process in a case of a child who was declared to be an adult following the age assessment of AWAS, based on a photo of a documentation from the Principal Immigration Officer. The board underlined that the Principal Immigration Officer did not have the right to intervene in age assessment procedures, and AWAS had no competence to review its own age assessment. The applicant was declared to be a minor again and the board ordered that he should be accommodated in the open shelter for unaccompanied minors.

The Council of State in the Netherlands [clarified](#) some principles of age determination in the framework of the Dublin procedure. The applicant was registered with different ages – both as a minor and as an adult – in various Member States (see [Section 4.2](#)).

The ECtHR [found](#) that the age assessment procedure of an unaccompanied child in Italy was in breach of the ECHR, Article 8 (respect for private and family life). The child expressed his wish to apply for international protection, but a guardian was not assigned to him for 6 months and he went through the age assessment procedure without a representative. The authorities did not provide information on the chosen method and its consequences, and they did not send the medical report to him. As there was no formal decision issued to consider him an adult, he was unable to appeal the decision. The Italian authorities failed to apply the principle of the presumption of minority.

[1406](#) European Council on Refugees and Exiles. (December 2022). Age assessment in Europe. Legal Note #13, 2022. <https://ecre.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Legal-Note-13-FINAL.pdf>

[1407](#) Ministry of Justice of Spain | Ministerio de Justicia. (2022, April 20). Anteproyecto de Ley por la que se regula el procedimiento de evaluación de la edad [Draft Law regulating the age assessment procedure]. <https://www.mjusticia.gob.es/es/AreaTematica/ActividadLegislativa/Documents/APL%20procedimiento%20evaluacion>

[1408](#) Spanish Commission for Refugee Aid | Comisión Española de Ayuda al Refugiado. (2022, May 10). ONGs piden permitir documentos de los países de origen de los menores no acompañados en la determinación de su edad. <https://www.cear.es/ong-expertas-en-infancia-y-migracion-piden-procedimiento-evaluacion-permita-revisar-sentencias/>

[1409](#) Convive Foundation Cepaim | Convide Fundación Cepaim. (February 2022). Input to the Asylum Report 2023. [https://euaa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2023-02/convive\\_fundacion\\_cepaim.pdf](https://euaa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2023-02/convive_fundacion_cepaim.pdf)

[1410](#) Immigration and Naturalisation Service | Immigratie- en Naturalisatiedienst. (2022, October 4). IB 2022/92 Toekennen leeftijd bij gestelde Amv [IB 2022/92 Assigning age to an alleged UAM]. [https://puc.overheid.nl/ind/doc/PUC\\_1294821\\_1/1/](https://puc.overheid.nl/ind/doc/PUC_1294821_1/1/)

[1411](#) United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child. (2022, June 28). Concluding observations on the combined fourth to sixth periodic reports of Greece [CRC/C/GRC/CO/4-6]. <https://uhri.ohchr.org/Document/File/115ee2b4-0565-44c3-8973-8319f32fbcd2/E9B9A517-C497-4E44-95B9-5CE737138E51>

[1412](#) Greek Council for Refugees | ????????? ?????????? ??? ???? ??????????. (February 2023). Input to the Asylum Report 2023. [https://euaa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2023-02/greek\\_council\\_for\\_refugees.docx](https://euaa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2023-02/greek_council_for_refugees.docx)

[1413](#) Caritas Belgium. (2022, November 18). Situatie Pacheco [Situation in Pacheco building]. <https://www.caritasinternational.be/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/18.11.22-situatie-Pacheco.pdf>

© European Union Agency for Asylum 2026 | Email: [info@euaa.europa.eu](mailto:info@euaa.europa.eu)