

4.10.3 Providing legal assistance to appeal a decision



National courts adopted emergency measures to continue to provide legal aid during hearings on first instance appeals, and courts turned to digital communication for these hearings, for example in Slovakia.⁸⁵⁵ Digital communication for hearings was available in Slovenia, but UNHCR noted that this option was rarely used in practice. In Croatia, access to case files was arranged by email or phone for legal representatives of asylum applicants in the appeal procedure during the period of the first lockdown. Since May 2020, the main rule is again that access to the file is granted in person.

Consultations were held between the International Protection Appeals Tribunal (IPAT) and the Legal Aid Board in Ireland as the tribunal was planning to move towards the introduction of a programme to facilitate the holding of oral hearings by Audio-Video link (AV hearing). The tribunal continues to engage with appellants through their legal representatives, including the Legal Aid Board and in wider stakeholder engagement, in respect of AV hearings.⁸⁵⁶ In France, the use of videoconferencing was implemented at the CNDA for asylum seekers living in overseas territories and was extended to lawyers registered in bar associations operating within other jurisdictions in Nancy and Lyon.⁸⁵⁷

Free legal aid is normally provided in Greece by state-paid lawyers in the Registry of Lawyers in appeal procedures, while legal aid in the first instance procedure is usually covered by civil society organisations.⁸⁵⁸ NGOs reported that legal assistance was unavailable to asylum applicants on multiple occasions and, consequently, hindered access to an appeal.⁸⁵⁹ This situation was caused by the limited number of state-paid lawyers who were unable to cope with the actual needs of asylum applicants.⁸⁶⁰ The same issue was raised by the Committee of Ministers in the context of the supervision of European Court of Human Rights judgments⁸⁶¹ and by a group of NGOs in their argumentation before the EU Commission concerning infringements of EU law.⁸⁶² The Greek Asylum Service has launched a call to supplement the Registry of Lawyers who provide legal aid by Decision of 25 September 2020.⁸⁶³

In addition, due to COVID-19 measures, deadlines for appeals were not met in May 2020 in Greece, because a significant number of negative decisions were issued by the Lesvos Regional Asylum Office after the resumption of services.^{xlix} Similarly in Chios, the single state-funded lawyer was unable to provide legal assistance when the number of rejected decisions increased in June 2020 following the resumption of services. Consequently, many asylum applicants missed the 10-day

deadline to appeal a negative decision, while the Chios Regional Asylum Service attempted to address the situation by redirecting legal aid requests to other Regional Asylum Offices.⁸⁶⁴

Swiss NGOs criticised the discretionary power of the state-paid lawyers to resign from a case and consequently not to submit an appeal when they assessed it as having low or no tangible prospects of success before the Federal Administrative Court.⁸⁶⁵ Consequently, applicants were left without legal protection and encountered difficulties to find another legal counsel within the tight appeal deadline. In addition, a high rate of appeals submitted by non-state legal counsels were allowed by the Federal Administrative Court, and thus, it was considered by the coalition of legal counsels that state lawyers should have not resigned in those cases.[!]

The Finnish Supreme Administrative Court ruled that denying legal aid in anticipation of the outcome should be applied exceptionally and the assessment of success chances of an appeal in matters of international protection should be treated with caution when deciding on legal aid requests for subsequent applications. The Legal Aid Office must be cautious when rejecting a legal aid request because the applicant's circumstances or the security situation in the country of origin might have changed and an incorrect negative decision could have serious consequences for an asylum applicant.

In Switzerland, following changes implemented in 2019, the legal assistance and representation in the asylum procedure were analysed based on 75 cases which were included in a common database of the coalition of independent legal experts on asylum.⁸⁶⁶

In Portugal, concerns were raised by the Portuguese Refugee Council (CPR) on the merits test to access legal aid in the appeal procedure. In 2020, appointed lawyers continued to refuse to take on board appeals based on low chances of success and the Bar Association did not propose a replacement for the appointed lawyer. This practice has impacted mostly applicants in the Dublin system and in special procedures and is due to unclarities on the criteria of the merits test.⁸⁶⁷

The Administrative Court of International Protection in Cyprus emphasised that the burden of proof for changes of positive outcomes in appeal lie with the applicant and refused to grant legal aid in a case concerning a Somali applicant and another case concerning an Egyptian applicant where no prospects of success were demonstrated. Conversely, in another case, the Cypriot court assessed that facts and evidence given as grounds for international protection were not properly investigated and there was a real chance of a positive outcome in the appeal procedure; consequently, legal aid was granted to the applicant.

Similarly, the AIDA report for Poland assessed that there was insufficient capacity to provide legal assistance and representation under the state-paid scheme for asylum applicants in the appeals procedure. In addition, NGOs lacked funding and resources to provide the necessary assistance to fill the gap. Moreover, the Association for Legal Intervention underlined that since all information is being gathered and analysed first without a legal counsel at the interview before the determining authority, it was not sufficient to only provide free legal assistance at the second instance.⁸⁶⁸

[xliv] After the resumption of services on 18 May 2020, the Regional Asylum Office in Lesbos issued negative decisions to 1,789 applicants for international protection and the deadline to apply for an appeal was 28 May 2020. HIAS Greece reported that the Asylum Office does not provide free legal aid to prepare an appeal and asylum applicants rely mostly on lawyers from NGOs who were not able to provide legal assistance within the tight deadline. See more here: Stonisi | ??? ??? (2020, May 20). 1400 «????????????» ????????? ?????? «?? ?? ?????????» [1400 asylum requests rejected right away].

<https://www.stonisi.gr/post/9096/1400-aporriptikes-apofaseis-asyloy-me-to-kalhmera>; Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society. (2020, May 19). *The instructions for the resumption of the operation of the Asylum Service lead to violations of the fundamental rights of asylum seekers*. https://www.hias.org/sites/default/files/greece-statement_-_rejection_decisions_to_1789_asylum_seekers.pdf

[l] The analysis of the asylum system and legal assistance and representation in Switzerland following the 2019 changes was done on 75 cases included in a common database of the Coalition of Independent Legal Experts on Asylum. See: Alliance of Independent Lawyers in the field of asylum | Bündnis unabhängiger Rechtsarbeit im Asylbereich | Coalition des juristes independant-e-s pour le droit d'asile |. (2020). *Zur Neustrukturierung des Asylbereichs: Bilanz zu einem Jahr der Umsetzung. Analyse des Bündnisses unabhängiger Rechtsarbeit im Asylbereich (Untersuchungszeitraum: 01.03.2019 – 29.02.2020) [On the restructuring of the asylum sector: Balance for one year of transposition. Analysis of the Alliance of Independent Lawyers in the field of asylum (Examination period: 01.03.2019-29.02.2020)]*. https://xn--bndnis-rechtsarbeit-asy-vsc.ch/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/DOSSIER_Rechtsarbeit_DE.pdf; Swiss Observatory on Asylum and Aliens Law | Schweizerische Beobachtungsstelle für Asyl- und Ausländerrecht | Observatoire Suisse du Droit d'Asile et des Étrangers | Osservatorio Svizzero sul Diritto d'Asilo e degli Stranieri. (2021). *Alle Fälle nach Aktualität sortiert [All cases in chronological order]*. <https://beobachtungsstelle.ch/de/hauptmenu/falldokumentation-3/884-2/>; Swiss Observatory on Asylum and Aliens Law | Schweizerische Beobachtungsstelle für Asyl- und Ausländerrecht | Observatoire Suisse du Droit d'Asile et des Étrangers | Osservatorio Svizzero sul Diritto d'Asilo e degli Stranieri. (2020). *Bilanz zur Neustrukturierung des Asylbereichs [Assessment of the restructuring of the asylum sector]*. <https://beobachtungsstelle.ch/news/bilanz-zur-neustrukturierung-des-asylbereichs/>.

[855] Ministry of Justice | Ministerstvo spravodlivosti. (2021). *Opatrenia rezortu v rámci ochrany pred COVID-19 [Measures of the Ministry in the framework of the protection against COVID-19]*. <https://www.justice.gov.sk/Stranky/Ministerstvo/Opatrenia-COVID-19.aspx>

[856] International Protection Appeals Tribunal | An Binse um Achomharic i dtaobh Cosaint Idirnáisiúnta. (2021). *Homepage*. <http://www.protectionappeals.ie/>

[857] National Court of Asylum | Cour Nationale du Droit d'Asile. (2020). *Rapport d'activité 2020 [Activity Report 2020]*. <http://www.cnda.fr/content/download/179204/1758937/version/2/file/RA2020-book.pdf>

[858] Greek Council for Refugees | ????????? ?????????? ??? ????? ??????????. (2021). *Input to the EASO Asylum Report 2021*. [/sites/default/files/Greek-Council-for-Refugees.pdf](https://sites/default/files/Greek-Council-for-Refugees.pdf)

[859] Greek Council for Refugees | ????????? ?????????? ??? ????? ??????????. (2021, January 11). *Legal actors express serious concerns regarding the lack of state free legal aid for asylum applicants in Lesbos*. <https://www.gcr.gr/en/news/press-releases-announcements/item/1591-legal-actors-express-serious-concerns-regarding-the-lack-of-state-free-legal-aid-for-asylum-applicants-in-lesvos>

[860] Refugee Support Aegean (RSA) and Foundation PRO ASYL (2020, July). *Submission to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe in the cases of M.S.S. v. Belgium and Greece & Rahimi v. Greece*. <https://rm.coe.int/CoERMPublicCommonSearchServices/DisplayDCTMContent?documentId=09000016809f5507>; Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society. (August 2020). *Communication in accordance with Rule 9.2. of the Rules of the Committee of Ministers regarding the supervision of the execution of judgments and of terms of friendly settlements by HIAS GREECE in the M.S.S. and Rahimi groups v. Greece (Applications No 30696/09, 8687/08)*. <https://rm.coe.int/CoERMPublicCommonSearchServices/DisplayDCTMContent?documentId=09000016809f5212>; Equal Rights Beyond Borders. (August 2020). *Communication in Accordance with Rule 9.2. of the Rules of the Committee of Ministers Regarding the Supervision of the Execution of Judgments and of Terms of Friendly Settlements in the M.S.S. and Rahimi Groups v. Greece (Application Nos. 30696/09, 8687/08)*. https://equal-rights.org/site/assets/files/1259/dh-dd_2020_715e.pdf; Amnesty International. (2020, August 6). *Amnesty International's Submission to the Committee of Ministers in the M.S.S. and Rahimi Groups of Cases v. Greece (Applications No 30696/09 and No 8687/08)*. <https://rm.coe.int/CoERMPublicCommonSearchServices/DisplayDCTMContent?documentId=09000016809f5098>; Greek Council for Refugees | ????????? ?????????? ??? ????? ??????????. (July 2020). *Submission to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe in the groups of cases of M.S.S. v. Belgium and Greece & Rahimi v. Greece*. <https://rm.coe.int/CoERMPublicCommonSearchServices/DisplayDCTMContent?documentId=09000016809f5506>; METAdrasi. (2021). *Input to the EASO Asylum Report 2021*. [/sites/default/files/METAdrasi.pdf](https://sites/default/files/METAdrasi.pdf)

[861] Council of Europe, Committee of Ministers. (1 October 2020). *1383rd meeting, 29 September – 1 October 2020 (DH): H46-7 M.S.S. and Rahimi groups v. Greece (Application No 30696/09)*. https://search.coe.int/cm/Pages/result_details.aspx?ObjectID=09000016809fa8bc

[862] De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek. (September 2020). *Complaint to the European Commission Concerning Infringements of EU Law by Greece: On behalf of WeMove Europe and Oxfam International*. <https://oi-files-d8-prod.s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/2020-09/wemove-oxfam-complaint-to-ec-asylum-greece-eu.pdf>

[863] ?????????? ?????????????????? ??? ??????????. Decision - notice 19969/25.9.2020 ????????? – ?????????? 19969/25.9.2020 ????????? ?????????????? ?????????? ??? ?????????? ??? ?????????? ?????????? ?????????? [Ministry of Migration and Asylum Decision - notice 19969/25.9.2020 Initiation of the Selection Procedure for Registration in the Register of Assistant Rapporteurs]. <https://migration.gov.gr/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/90%CE%9A346%CE%9C%CE%94%CE%A8%CE%9F-%CE%9D%CE%9C8-M%CE%97%CE%A4%CE%A1%CE%A9%CE%9F-%CE%92%CE%9F%CE%97%CE%98%CE%A9%CE%9D-%CE%95%CE%99%CE%A3%CE%97%CE%93%CE%97%CE%A4%CE%A9%CE%9D.pdf>

[864] European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (2020). *Migration: Key fundamental rights concerns - Quarterly bulletin - 2020: 01 January - 31 March 2020*. https://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_uploads/fra-2020-migration-bulletin-2_en.pdf; METAdrasi. (2021). *Input to the EASO Asylum Report 2021*. [/sites/default/files/METAdrasi.pdf](https://sites/default/files/METAdrasi.pdf)

[865] AsyLex Legal Advisory. (2021). *Input to the EASO Asylum Report 2021*. [/sites/default/files/AsyLex.docx](https://sites/default/files/AsyLex.docx)

[866] Swiss Observatory on Asylum and Aliens Law | Schweizerische Beobachtungsstelle für Asyl- und Ausländerrecht | Observatoire Suisse du Droit d'Asile et des Étrangers | Osservatorio Svizzero sul Diritto d'Asilo e degli Stranieri. (2021). *Alle Fälle nach Aktualität sortiert [All cases in chronological order]*. <https://beobachtungsstelle.ch/de/hauptmenu/falldokumentation-3/884-2/>

[867] AIDA Portugal. (2021). *Country Report: Portugal - 2020 Update*. Edited by ECRE. Written by Portuguese Refugee Council (CPR). https://asylumineurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/AIDA-PT_2020update.pdf

[868] AIDA Poland. (2021). *Country Report: Poland - 2020 Update*. Edited by ECRE. Written by Karolina Rusilowicz, Ewa Ostaszewska and Maja Lysienka. https://asylumineurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/AIDA-PL_2020update.pdf



© European Union Agency for Asylum 2026 | Email: info@euaa.europa.eu