

Please cite as: EUAA, '[3.2.5.1. Differences in protection policies](#)' in *Asylum Report 2024*, juin 2024.

3.2.5.1. Differences in protection policies

3.2.5.1. Differences in protection policies

The Dutch Council of State [overruled](#) its series of judgments from 2022,[334](#) noting that the policy of Danish authorities had changed. There were no longer obvious and fundamental differences between the two countries' protection policies. First instance administrative courts in the Netherlands followed this reasoning when assessing transfers to Denmark.[335](#)

The Finnish Supreme Court [referred](#) questions for a preliminary ruling prompted by the case of a Syrian applicant who had been given subsidiary protection in Denmark, which was not extended after a change in the policy of the Danish authority.

- [334](#)European Union Agency for Asylum. (July 2023). *Asylum Report 2023*.
- [335](#)Netherlands, Court of The Hague [Rechtbank Den Haag], *Applicant v State Secretary for Justice and Security (Staatssecretaris van Justitie en Veiligheid)*, NL23.1047, ECLI:EN:RBDHA:2023:8410, 8 June 2023.