Contribution ID: 7fd1bcdf-e98d-4b16-bb01-5b59a70ad776

Date: 26/02/2021 16:33:13

Input by civil society to the 2021 EASO Asylum Report

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Dear

Colleagues,

The production of the *EASO Asylum Report 2021* is currently underway. The annual <u>Asylum Report series</u> present a comprehensive overview of developments in the field of asylum at the regional and national I e v e I s .

The report includes information and perspectives from various stakeholders, including experts from EU+ countries, civil society organisations, UNHCR and researchers. To this end, we invite you, our partners from civil society, academia and research institutions, to share with us your reporting on developments in asylum law, policy or practice in 2020 (and early 2021) by topic as presented in the online survey.

Please note that the EASO Asylum Report does not seek to describe national systems in detail but rather to present key developments of the past year, including improvements and challenges which remain. Your input can cover practices of a specific EU+ country or the EU as a whole. You can complete all or only some of the sections.

All submissions are publicly accessible. For transparency, 2021 contributions will be published on the EASO webpage. Contributions to the 2020 EASO Asylum Report by civil society organisations can be accessed here, under 'Acknowledgements'. All contributions should be appropriately referenced. You may include links to supporting material, such as analytical studies, articles, reports, websites, press releases or position papers. If your organisation does not produce any publications, please make reference to other published materials, such as joint statements issued with other organisations. Some sources of information may be in a language other than English. In this case, please cite the original language and, if possible, provide one to two sentences describing the key messages in English.

The content of the EASO Asylum Report is subject to terms of reference and volume limitations. Contributions from civil society organisations feed into EASO's work in multiple ways and inform reports and analyses beyond the Asylum Report.

Your input matters to us and will be much appreciated!

Nina Gregori - EASO Executive Director

Instructions

Befor	e completi	ng the survey,	please r	eview the lis	st of top	ics and types	of inform	nation t	that should be
incl	u d e d	ii	า	уо	u r	s u	bmiss	ion.	
For	each	response,	only	include	the	following	type	of	information
•		elopments and in	•			•	•		ring 2020.
Pleas	e ensure th	nat your respons	ses remai	n within the	scope of	each section.			
Con	tribution	ns by topic							
regis	tration, arı	rritory and acc rival at the bord ter, food, medi	der, appl	ication of th	e non-r	efoulement pr	inciple, t	he righ	-
2. Ac	cess to inf	formation and	legal ass	istance (inc	luding	counselling an	ıd repres	entatio	on)
incre		interpretation s ase in the num							•

In the field of interpretation services, we recommend improving the level of interpretation and translation in asylum and other proceedings with foreigners, esp.

- mapping the use of ad hoc interpreters by public authorities, it means those that are not registered with the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic as official interpreters;
- introduction of a list of "deficient languages" for which we have no or insufficient interpreters and translators;
- the state should also take on the burden of bearing the costs of ensuring that a sufficient list of quality interpreters is available to public authorities;
- develop a list of rights and obligations and a code of ethics for ad hoc interpreters;
- the obligation of ad hoc interpreters to complete a professional minimum; elaboration of guidelines for ad hoc interpreters on their role in the administrative procedures;
- -specific sanctions for ad hoc interpreters in case of breach of the interpretation promise;
- the inclusion of community interpreters in the Act on Experts, Interpreters and Translators and to adjust their status and scope of rights and obligations at the level of the Act;
- to simplify instructions on rights and obligations used in proceedings with foreigners;
- use appropriate methods to verify understanding of the question asked, explanation or instruction in the proceedings with foreigners;
- make video or audio recordings for possible back-checking of interpretation in administrative procedures with foreigners.

f transfers to	selected countries, detention in the framework of Dublin procedures)
Special pro	edures (including border procedures, procedures in transit zones, accelerated
ocedures, a	edures (including border procedures, procedures in transit zones, accelerated Imissibility procedures, prioritised procedures or any special procedure for selected
ocedures, a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
ocedures, a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

6. Reception of applicants for international protection (including information on reception capacities – increase/decrease/stable, material reception conditions - housing, food, clothing and financial support, contingency planning in reception, access to the labour market and vocational training, medical care, schooling and education, residence and freedom of movement)

7. Detention of applicants for international protection (including detention capacity – increase decrease/stable, practices regarding detention, grounds for detention, alternatives to detention,				
ne limit for de	(ention)			
the process,	t first instance (including relevant changes in: the authority in charge, organisation interviews, evidence assessment, determination of international protection status, timeframes, case management - including backlog management)			
asylum seek	asylum and international protection, taking into consideration consistently low numbers of ers, we recommend that the Migration Office is transformed into Asylum Department as part of igration and Naturalisation Office. Despite the low number of asylum seekers in recent years, in an increase in the severity of cases.			
by disregard cases, in add violated. In the	roblems identified at the first instance is the disproportionate length of the proceedings caused of the legal opinion of the court after the return of the case to the Migration Office. In these lition to delays in the proceedings, the rights of asylum seekers to an effective remedy are nese cases, we have brought the Torubarov case to the attention of the court, but so far, the not exercised the right to direct international protection in any case.			
	t second instance (including organisation of the process, hearings, written eframes, case management - including backlog management)			

chanisms/refe	pplicants (including definitions, special reception facilities, identification rals, procedural standards, provision of information, age assessment, legal foster care for unaccompanied and separated children)
traumatised pe persistently low round operation detention and t	ble asylum seekers with several special needs, especially for the victims of violence, rsons, LGBTI, etc., we recommend the creation of separate protected housing. Given the occupancy of three asylum facilities in recent years and the related inefficiency of their yearn, we propose to use part of these unused capacities for housing of people on alternative to hose that cannot be deported, are in material need and for objective reasons are unable to bry of Slovakia, whilst their detention is not effective (especially families and other vulnerable
ising and othe	otection (including access to social security, social assistance, healthcare, r basic services; integration into the labour market; measures to enhance neasures to improve attainment in schooling and/or the education system and/org)
of such program October 2015, level. In the field of in	create a State integration programme for persons with international protection. The creation mme was imposed by the Resolution of the Government of the Slovak Republic no. 568 of 21 however, this has not yet been established, resp. has not yet been approved at government stegration at the national level, we negatively perceive the absence of comprehensive, clear formation for foreigners about their rights and obligations, residence status, etc. in several
language version various foreigners in various	ons, provided by state institutions. This need is also regularly reported by representatives of communities in Slovakia. We propose the establishment of a national information platform for irious language versions, which will serve as a key and reliable source of information and
orientation for f	

Programme, private sponsorship programmes/schemes and ad hoc special programmes)

5

	We consider it important to improve general public awareness of international protection issues, to seek effective opportunities to engage in European solidarity programmes in the field of relocation, and to set up a resettlement program for beneficiaries of international protection.
	. Relocation (ad hoc, emergency relocation; developments in activities organised under national hemes or on a bilateral basis)
	. National jurisprudence on international protection in 2020 (please include a link to the relevant se law and/or submit cases to the <u>EASO Case Law Database</u>)
17.	. Other important developments in 2020
Re	eferences and sources
18.	Please provide links to references and sources and/or upload the related material in PDF format To read the full Analysis of the Situation and Recommendations in relation to the setting up of the Asylum, Migration and Integration Policies of the Slovak Republic prepared by the Human Rights League, click here: https://www.hrl.sk/assets/files/obsah/199-Summary%20and%20overview%20of%20recommendations%

19. Feedback or suggestions about the process or format for submissions to the EASO Asylum Report

20Slovak%20Republic.pdf

20 of %20 Analysis %20 of %20 asylum, %20 immigration %20 and %20 integration %20 policies %20 of %20 the %20 policies %20 of %20 policies %20 of %20 policies %20 policies

le	ease upload your file		

Ρ

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Contact details

* Name of organisation

Human Rights League

Name and title of contact person

Michaela Dojčinovičová

* Email

dojcinovicova@hrl.sk

I accept the provisions of the EASO <u>Legal and Privacy Statements</u>

Useful links

EASO Asylum Report 2020 (https://easo.europa.eu/asylum-report-2020)

Executive Summary -EASO Asylum Report 2020 (https://easo.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EASO-Asylum-Report 2020-Executive-Summary.pdf)

Bibliography for the EASO Asylum Report 2020 (https://easo.europa.eu/sites/default/files/easo-asylum-report-202 bibliography.pdf)

Summary of legislative, institutional and policy developments in asylum in EU+ countries in 2019 (https://easo. europa.eu/sites/default/files/easo-asylum-report-eu-developments.pdf)

Online database with data and latest asylum trends (https://easo.europa.eu/asylum-trends-easo-asylum-report-2020)

Online database for EU+ developments (https://easo.europa.eu/eu-developments)

Contact

ids@easo.europa.eu